arXiv:0905.3472v1 [math-ph] 21 May 2009

Harmonic Crystals in the Half-Space, I. Convergence to Equilibrium

T.V. Dudnikova [1]
Elektrostal Polytechnical Institute
Elektrostal 144000, Russia
e-mail: dudnik@elsite.ru

Abstract

We consider the dynamics of a harmonic crystal in the half-space with zero boundary condition. It is assumed that the initial date is a random function with zero mean, finite mean energy density which also satisfies a mixing condition of Rosenblatt or Ibragimov type. We study the distribution μ_t of the solution at time $t \in \mathbf{R}$. The main result is the convergence of μ_t to a Gaussian measure as $t \to \infty$ which is time stationary with a covariance inherited from the initial (in general, non-Gaussian) measure.

Key words and phrases: harmonic crystal in the half-space, random initial data, mixing condition, covariance matrices, weak convergence of measures.

[1]Supported partly by research grant of RFBR (06-01-00096)

1 Introduction

The paper concerns the problems of the long-time convergence to the equilibrium distribution for the discrete systems. For one-dimensional chains of harmonic oscillators the problem is analyzed in [1, 16]: in [16] – for initial measures which have distinct temperatures to the left and to the right, and in [1] – for a more general class of initial measures characterized by a mixing condition of Rosenblatt- or Ibragimov- type and which are asymptotically translation-invariant to the left and to the right. For many-dimensional harmonic crystals the convergence has been proved in [13] for initial measures which are absolutely continuous with respect to the canonical Gaussian measure. In [4]–[7] we have started the convergence analysis for partial differential equations of hyperbolic type in $\mathbf{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$. In [8]–[10] we extended the results to harmonic crystals. In the harmonic approximation the crystal is characterized by the displacements $u(z,t) \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, of the crystal atoms from their equilibrium positions. The field $u(z,t)$ is governed by a discrete wave equation. In the papers mentioned above the lattice dynamics has been studied in the whole space $\mathbf{Z}^d$.

In the present work the dynamics of the harmonic crystals is studied in the half-space $\mathbf{Z}^d_+$, $d \geq 1$,

$$\ddot{u}(z,t) = -\sum_{z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+} (V(z-z') - V(z-\tilde{z}'))\, u(z',t), \quad z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\tilde{z} := (-z_1, \bar{z})$, $\bar{z} = (z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^{d-1}$, with zero boundary condition,

$$u(z,t)|_{z_1=0} = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

and with the initial data

$$u(z,0) = u_0(z), \quad \dot{u}(z,0) = u_1(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+. \tag{1.3}$$

Here $\mathbf{Z}^d_+ = \{z \in \mathbf{Z}^d : z_1 > 0\}$, $V(z)$ is the interaction (or force) matrix, $(V_{kl}(z))$, $k,l = 1, \dots, n$, $u(z,t) = (u_1(z,t), \dots, u_n(z,t))$, $u_0(z) = (u_{01}(z), \dots, u_{0n}(z)) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and correspondingly for $u_1(z)$. To coordinate the boundary and initial conditions we suppose that $u_0(z) = u_1(z) = 0$ for $z_1 = 0$.

Denote $Y(t) = (Y^0(t), Y^1(t)) \equiv (u(\cdot,t), \dot{u}(\cdot,t))$, $Y_0 = (Y^0_0, Y^1_0) \equiv (u_0(\cdot), u_1(\cdot))$. Then (1.1)–(1.3) takes the form of the evolution equation

$$\dot{Y}(t) = \mathcal{A}_+ Y(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R},\ z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+, \quad Y^0(t)|_{z_1=0} = 0, \quad Y(0) = Y_0. \tag{1.4}$$

Here $\mathcal{A}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\mathcal{V}_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with $\mathcal{V}_+ u(z) := \sum_{z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+} (V(z-z') - V(z-\tilde{z}'))u(z')$.

It is assumed that the initial state Y_0 is given by a random element of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ of real sequences, see Definition 2.1 below. The distribution of Y_0 is a probability measure μ_0 satisfying conditions **S1**–**S4** below. In particular, the initial correlation function $Q_0(z,z')$ is asymptotically translation-invariant as $z_1, z_1' \to +\infty$ (see Condition **S2**) and the measure μ_0 has some mixing properties (see Condition **S4**). Given $t \in \mathbf{R}$, denote by μ_t the probability measure on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ giving the distribution of the random solution $Y(t)$ to the problem (1.4).

Our main result gives the weak convergence of measures μ_t on the space $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$, with $\alpha < -d/2$, to a limit measure μ_∞,

$$\mu_t \stackrel{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}}{\longrightarrow} \mu_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where μ_∞ is an equilibrium Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$. This means the convergence

$$\int f(Y)\mu_t(dY) \to \int f(Y)\mu_\infty(dY), \quad t \to \infty,$$

for any bounded continuous functional f on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$.

Explicit formulas for the correlation functions of the measure μ_0 are given in (3.3)–(3.5).

The paper is organized as follows. The conditions on the interaction matrix V and the initial measure μ_0 are given in Section 2. The main result is stated in Section 3. Examples of harmonic crystals and the initial measures satisfying all conditions imposed are constructed in Section 4. The convergence of correlation functions of μ_t is established in Section 5, the compactness of μ_t, $t \geq 0$, and the convergence of characteristic functionals of μ_t are proved in Sections 6 and 7, respectively.

2 Conditions on the system and the initial measure

2.1 Dynamics

Let us assume that

$$V(z) = V(\tilde{z}), \quad \text{where } \tilde{z} := (-z_1, \bar{z}), \quad \bar{z} = (z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^{d-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.3) can be represented as the restriction of the solution to the Cauchy problem with the odd initial date on the half-space. More precisely, consider the following Cauchy problem for the harmonic crystal in the whole space $\mathbf{Z}^d$:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{v}(z,t) = -\sum\limits_{z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d} V(z-z')v(z',t), & z \in \mathbf{Z}^d, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, \\ v(z,0) = v_0(z), \quad \dot{v}(z,0) = v_1(z), & z \in \mathbf{Z}^d. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Denote $X(t) = (X^0(t), X^1(t)) \equiv (v(\cdot,t), \dot{v}(\cdot,t))$, $X_0 = (X_0^0, X_0^1) \equiv (v_0(\cdot), v_1(\cdot))$. Then (2.2) has a form

$$\dot{X}(t) = \mathcal{A}X(t), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, \quad X(0) = X_0. \tag{2.3}$$

Here $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\mathcal{V} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is a convolution operator with the matrix kernel V.

Let us assume that the initial date $X_0(z)$ be an odd function w.r.t. $z_1 \in \mathbf{Z}^1$, i.e., $X_0(z) = -X_0(\tilde{z})$. Then the solution $v(z,t)$ of (2.2) is also an odd function w.r.t. $z_1 \in \mathbf{Z}^1$. Let us restrict the solution $v(z,t)$ on the domain $\mathbf{Z}^d_+$ and put $u(z,t) = v(z,t)|_{z_1 \geq 0}$. Then $u(z,t)$ is the solution to the problem (1.4) with the initial date $Y_0(z) = X_0(z)|_{z_1 \geq 0}$.

Assume that the initial date Y_0 of the problem (1.4) belongs to the phase space $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, defined below.

Definition 2.1 *$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ is the Hilbert space of $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ -valued functions of $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+$ endowed with the norm*

$$\|Y\|^2_{\alpha,+} = \sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d_+} |Y(z)|^2(1+|z|^2)^\alpha < \infty.$$

In addition it is assumed that the initial date $Y_0 = 0$ if $z_1 = 0$.

We impose the following conditions **E1**–**E6** on the matrix V.

E1. There exist positive constants C, γ such that $\|V(z)\| \le Ce^{-\gamma|z|}$ for $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, $\|V(z)\|$ denoting the matrix norm.

Let $\hat{V}(\theta)$ be the Fourier transform of $V(z)$, with the convention

$$\hat{V}(\theta) = \sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d} V(z)e^{iz\cdot\theta}, \theta \in \mathbf{T}^d,$$

where " $\cdot$ " stands for the scalar product in Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{T}^d$ denotes the d-torus $\mathbf{R}^d/(2\pi\mathbf{Z})^d$.

E2. V is real and symmetric, i.e., $V_{lk}(-z) = V_{kl}(z) \in \mathbf{R}$, $k, l = 1, \dots, n$, $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$.

Both conditions imply that $\hat{V}(\theta)$ is a real-analytic Hermitian matrix-valued function in $\theta \in \mathbf{T}^d$.

E3. The matrix $\hat{V}(\theta)$ is non-negative definite for every $\theta \in \mathbf{T}^d$.

Let us define the Hermitian non-negative definite matrix,

$$\Omega(\theta) = (\hat{V}(\theta))^{1/2} \ge 0. \tag{2.4}$$

$\Omega(\theta)$ has the eigenvalues $0 \le \omega_1(\theta) < \omega_2(\theta) \dots < \omega_s(\theta)$, $s \le n$, and the corresponding spectral projections $\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$ with multiplicity $r_\sigma = \operatorname{tr}\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$. $\theta \mapsto \omega_\sigma(\theta)$ is the σ-th band function. There are special points in $\mathbf{T}^d$, where the bands cross, which means that s and r_σ jump to some other value. Away from such crossing points s and r_σ are independent of θ. More precisely one has the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *(see [8, Lemma 2.2]). Let the conditions* **E1** *and* **E2** *hold. Then there exists a closed subset $\mathcal{C}_* \subset \mathbf{T}^d$ such that we have the following:*
(i) the Lebesgue measure of $\mathcal{C}_*$ is zero.
(ii) For any point $\Theta \in \mathbf{T}^d \backslash \mathcal{C}_*$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(\Theta)$ such that each band function $\omega_\sigma(\theta)$ can be chosen as the real-analytic function in $\mathcal{O}(\Theta)$.
(iii) The eigenvalue $\omega_\sigma(\theta)$ has constant multiplicity in $\mathbf{T}^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$.
(iv) The spectral decomposition holds,

$$\Omega(\theta) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} \omega_\sigma(\theta)\Pi_\sigma(\theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbf{T}^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$ is the orthogonal projection in $\mathbf{R}^n$. Π_σ is a real-analytic function on $\mathbf{T}^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$.

For $\theta \in \mathbf{T}^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$, we denote by $\mathrm{Hess}\,(\omega_\sigma)$ the matrix of second partial derivatives. The next condition on V is the following:

E4. Let $D_\sigma(\theta) = \det\,(\mathrm{Hess}(\omega_\sigma(\theta)))$. Then $D_\sigma(\theta)$ does not vanish identically on $\mathbf{T}^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$, $\sigma = 1, \dots, s$.

Let us denote

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{\theta \in \mathbf{T}^d : \det \hat{V}(\theta) = 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_\sigma = \{\theta \in \mathbf{T}^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_* : D_\sigma(\theta) = 0\},\ \sigma = 1, \dots, s. \tag{2.6}$$

Then the Lebesgue measure of $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ vanishes, $\sigma = 0, 1, ..., s$ (see [8, Lemma 2.3]).

The last conditions on V are the following:

E5. For each $\sigma \neq \sigma'$, the identities $\omega_\sigma(\theta) \pm \omega_{\sigma'}(\theta) \equiv \mathrm{const}_\pm$, $\theta \in \mathbf{T}^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*$, do not hold with $\mathrm{const}_\pm \neq 0$.

This condition holds trivially in the case $n = 1$.

E6. $\|\hat{V}^{-1}(\theta)\| \in L^1(\mathbf{T}^d)$.

If $\mathcal{C}_0 = \emptyset$, then $\|\hat{V}^{-1}(\theta)\|$ is bounded and **E6** holds trivially.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ the Hilbert space of $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$-valued functions of $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$ endowed with the norm

$$\|X\|_\alpha^2 = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}^d} |X(z)|^2 (1 + |z|^2)^\alpha < \infty.$$

Proposition 2.3 *(see [8, Proposition 2.5]). Let conditions* **E1** *and* **E2** *hold, and choose some $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. Then (i) for any $X_0 \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, there exists a unique solution $X(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{H}_\alpha)$ to the Cauchy problem (2.3).*
(ii) The operator $U(t) : X_0 \mapsto X(t)$ is continuous in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$.

Corollary 2.4 *Let conditions (2.1),* **E1** *and* **E2** *hold. Then (i) for any $Y_0 \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$, there exists a unique solution $Y(t) \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+})$ to the mixed problem (1.4).*
(ii) The operator $U_+(t) : Y_0 \mapsto Y(t)$ is continuous in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$.

Proof. Corollary 2.4 follows from Proposition 2.3. Indeed, the solution $X(z,t)$ of (2.3) admits the representation

$$X(z,t) = \sum_{z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \mathcal{G}_t(z - z') X_0(z'), \tag{2.7}$$

where the Green function $\mathcal{G}_t(z)$ has the Fourier representation

$$\mathcal{G}_t(z) := F^{-1}_{\theta \to z}[\exp\,(\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\theta)t)] = (2\pi)^{-d} \int\limits_{\mathbf{T}^d} e^{-iz\cdot\theta} \exp\,(\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\theta)t)\, d\theta \tag{2.8}$$

with

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\hat{V}(\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix},\quad \theta \in \mathbf{T}^d. \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, the solution to the problem (1.4) has a form

$$Y(z,t) = \sum_{z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+} \mathcal{G}_{t,+}(z, z') Y_0(z'),\quad z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{t,+}(z,z') := \mathcal{G}_t(z - z') - \mathcal{G}_t(z - \tilde{z}')$. Corollary 2.4 follows. ■

2.2 Random initial data and statistical conditions

Denote by μ_0 a Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ giving the distribution of Y_0. Expectation with respect to μ_0 is denoted by E.

Assume that the initial measure μ_0 has the following properties.

S1. $Y_0(z)$ has zero expectation value, $E_0(Y_0(z)) = 0$, $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+$.

For $a, b, c \in \mathbf{C}^n$, denote by $a \otimes b$ the linear operator $(a \otimes b)c = a \sum_{j=1}^n b_j c_j$.

S2. The correlation matrices of the measure μ_0 have a form

$$Q_0^{ij}(z, z') = E_0(Y_0^i(z) \otimes Y_0^j(z')) = q_0^{ij}(z_1, z_1', \bar{z} - \bar{z}'), \quad z, z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+, \quad i, j = 0, 1. \tag{2.11}$$

where
(i) $q_0^{ij}(z_1, z_1', \bar{z}) = 0$ for $z_1 = 0$ or $z_1' = 0$,
(ii) $\lim_{y \to +\infty} q_0^{ij}(z_1 + y, y, \bar{z}) = \mathbf{q}_0^{ij}(z)$, $z = (z_1, \bar{z}) \in \mathbf{Z}^d$. Here $\mathbf{q}_0^{ij}(z)$ are correlation functions of some translation invariant measure ν_0 with zero mean value in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$.

Definition 2.5 *A measure ν is called translation invariant if $\nu(T_h B) = \nu(B)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\alpha)$, $h \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, where $T_h X(z) = X(z - h)$, $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$.*

S3. The measure μ_0 has a finite variance and finite mean energy density,

$$e_0(z) = E_0(|Y_0^0(z)|^2 + |Y_0^1(z)|^2) = \operatorname{tr}\left[Q_0^{00}(z, z) + Q_0^{11}(z, z)\right] \le e_0 < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+. \tag{2.12}$$

Finally, it is assumed that the measure μ_0 satisfies a mixing condition. To formulate this condition, let us denote by $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{Z}^d_+$, the σ-algebra in $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ generated by $Y_0(z)$ with $z \in \mathcal{A}$. Define the Ibragimov mixing coefficient of the probability measure μ_0 on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ by the rule (cf. [12, Definition 17.2.2])

$$\varphi(r) = \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbf{Z}^d_+ \\ \operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \ge r}} \sup_{\substack{A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}), B \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}) \\ \mu_0(B) > 0}} \frac{|\mu_0(A \cap B) - \mu_0(A)\mu_0(B)|}{\mu_0(B)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Definition 2.6 *A measure μ_0 satisfies the strong uniform Ibragimov mixing condition if $\varphi(r) \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$.*

S4. The measure μ_0 satisfies the strong uniform Ibragimov mixing condition with

$$\int_0^\infty r^{d-1} \varphi^{1/2}(r)\, dr < \infty. \tag{2.14}$$

This condition can be considerably weakened (see Remarks 3.4 (i), (ii)).

3 Main results

Definition 3.1 *(i) We define μ_t as the Borel probability measure on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ which gives the distribution of the random solution $Y(t)$,*

$$\mu_t(B) = \mu_0(U_+(-t)B), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

(ii) The correlation functions of the measure μ_t *are defined by*

$$Q_t^{ij}(z,z') = E\Big(Y^i(z,t) \otimes Y^j(z',t)\Big), \;\; i,j = 0,1, \quad z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}_+^d. \tag{3.1}$$

Here $Y^i(z,t)$ *are the components of the random solution* $Y(t) = (Y^0(\cdot,t), Y^1(\cdot,t))$ *to the problem (1.4).*

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem A *Let* $d, n \geq 1$, $\alpha < -d/2$, *and assume that the conditions (2.1),* **E1**–**E6** *and* **S1**–**S4** *hold. Then*
(i) the convergence in (1.5) holds.
(ii) The limit measure μ_∞ *is a Gaussian measure on* $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$.
(iii) The correlation matrices of the measures μ_t *converge to a limit, for* $i,j = 0,1$,

$$Q_t^{ij}(z,z') = \int (Y^i(z) \otimes Y^j(z'))\, \mu_t(dY) \to Q_\infty^{ij}(z,z'), \;\; t \to \infty, \quad z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}_+^d. \tag{3.2}$$

The correlation matrix $Q_\infty^{ij}(z,z') = (Q_\infty^{ij}(z,z'))_{i,j=0}^1$ *of the limit measure* μ_∞ *has a form*

$$Q_\infty(z,z') = q_\infty(z-z') - q_\infty(z-\tilde{z}') - q_\infty(\tilde{z}-z') + q_\infty(\tilde{z}-\tilde{z}'), \quad z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}_+^d. \tag{3.3}$$

Here $q_\infty(z) = q_\infty^+(z) + q_\infty^-(z)$, *where in the Fourier transform we have*

$$\hat{q}_\infty^+(\theta) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \Pi_\sigma(\theta)\,(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta) + C(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)C(\theta)^*)\,\Pi_\sigma(\theta), \tag{3.4}$$

$$\hat{q}_\infty^-(\theta) = \frac{i}{4}\sum_{\sigma=1}^s \mathrm{sign}\,(\partial_{\theta_1}\omega_\sigma(\theta))\,\Pi_\sigma(\theta)\,(C(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta) - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)C(\theta)^*)\,\Pi_\sigma(\theta), \;\; \theta \in \mathbf{T}^d \setminus \mathcal{C}_*, \tag{3.5}$$

$\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$ *is the spectral projection from Lemma 2.2 (iv) and*

$$C(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Omega(\theta)^{-1} \\ -\Omega(\theta) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C(\theta)^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Omega(\theta) \\ \Omega(\theta)^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

(iv) The measure μ_∞ *is time stationary, i.e.,* $[U_+(t)]^*\mu_\infty = \mu_\infty$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$.
(v) The group $U_+(t)$ *is mixing with respect to the measure* μ_∞, *i.e.,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int f(U_+(t)Y)g(Y)\,\mu_\infty(dY) = \int f(Y)\,\mu_\infty(dY) \int g(Y)\,\mu_\infty(dY)$$

for any $f, g \in L^2(\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}, \mu_\infty)$.

The assertions *(i)*, *(ii)* of Theorem A can be deduced from Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 below.

Proposition 3.2 *The family of measures* $\{\mu_t,\, t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ *is weakly compact on the space* $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ *for any* $\alpha < -d/2$, *and the bounds* $\sup\limits_{t\geq 0} E\|U_+(t)Y_0\|_{\alpha,+}^2 < \infty$ *hold.*

Set $\mathcal{S} = [S(\mathbf{Z}_+^d) \otimes \mathbf{R}^n]^2$, where $S(\mathbf{Z}_+^d)$ stands for the space of rapidly decreasing real sequences. Denote $\langle Y, \Psi \rangle_+ = \langle Y^0, \Psi^0 \rangle_+ + \langle Y^1, \Psi^1 \rangle_+$ for $Y = (Y^0, Y^1) \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ and $\Psi = (\Psi^0, \Psi^1) \in \mathcal{S}$, where

$$\langle Y^i, \Psi^i \rangle_+ = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}_+^d} Y^i(z) \cdot \Psi^i(z), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Proposition 3.3 *For every $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}$, the characteristic functionals converge to a Gaussian one,*

$$\hat{\mu}_t(\Psi) := \int e^{i\langle Y, \Psi \rangle_+} \mu_t(dY) \to \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi, \Psi)\right\}, \ t \to \infty, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_\infty$ is the quadratic form defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi, \Psi) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} \sum_{z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}_+^d} \Big(Q_\infty^{ij}(z, z'), \Psi^i(z) \otimes \Psi^j(z')\Big).$$

Proposition 3.2 ensures the existence of the limit measures of the family $\{\mu_t,\, t \in \mathbf{R}\}$, while Proposition 3.3 provides the uniqueness. They are proved in Sections 6 and 7, respectively. The assertion *(iii)* of Theorem A is proved in Section 5, item *(iv)* follows from (1.5) and item *(v)* can be proved using a method of [11].

Remarks 3.4 (i) The *uniform Rosenblatt mixing condition* [15] also suffices, together with a higher power > 2 in the bound (2.12): there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$E\Big(|Y_0^0(z)|^{2+\delta} + |Y_0^1(z)|^{2+\delta}\Big) \le C < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbf{Z}_+^d. \tag{3.8}$$

Then (2.14) requires a modification:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} r^{d-1} \alpha^p(r) dr < \infty, \quad \text{with } p = \min(\delta/(2+\delta), 1/2).$$

Here $\alpha(r)$ is the Rosenblatt mixing coefficient defined as in (2.13) but without $\mu_0(B)$ in the denominator:

$$\alpha(r) = \sup\{\alpha_Y(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) : \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \mathbf{Z}_+^d, \ \mathrm{dist}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \ge r\},$$

where

$$\alpha_Y(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup\{|\mu_0(A \cap B) - \mu_0(A)\mu_0(B)| : A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}), B \in \sigma(\mathcal{B})\}.$$

Under these modifications, the statements of Theorem A and their proofs remain essentially unchanged.

(ii) The uniform Rosenblatt mixing condition also could be weakened. Let $K(z, s) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} [z_i - s, z_i + s]$, where $s > 0$, $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, stand for the cube in $\mathbf{Z}^d$, $\bar{K}_r = \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus K(z, s + r)$. Let us define the mixing coefficient $\alpha_l(r)$ by the rule

$$\alpha_l(r) = \sup\left\{\alpha_Y\left(K(z, s) \cap \mathbf{Z}_+^d, \bar{K}_r \cap \mathbf{Z}_+^d\right) : z \in \mathbf{Z}_+^d, \, 0 \le s \le l\right\}.$$

To prove Theorem A it suffices to assume, together with (3.8), that

$$\alpha_l(r) \le \frac{C \ l^\kappa}{(1+r)^{\kappa'}},$$

with some constants $C, \kappa, \kappa' > 0$. See [2, 3] for a more detailed discussion about the different mixing conditions.

(iii) The condition **E5** could be considerably weakened. Namely, it suffices to assume the following condition:

E5' If for some $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ one has $\omega_\sigma(\theta) \pm \omega_{\sigma'}(\theta) \equiv \text{const}_\pm$ with $\text{const}_\pm \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{cases} p^{11}_{\sigma\sigma'}(\theta) \mp \omega_\sigma(\theta)\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)p^{00}_{\sigma\sigma'}(\theta) \equiv 0, \\ \omega_\sigma(\theta)p^{01}_{\sigma\sigma'}(\theta) \pm \omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)p^{10}_{\sigma\sigma'}(\theta) \equiv 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Here $p^{ij}_{\sigma\sigma'}(\theta)$ stand for the matrices

$$p^{ij}_{\sigma\sigma'}(\theta) := \Pi_\sigma(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{ij}_0(\theta)\Pi_{\sigma'}(\theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbf{T}^d, \quad \sigma, \sigma' = 1, \dots, s, \quad i, j = 0, 1,$$

$\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{ij}_0(\theta)$ are Fourier transforms of the correlation functions $\mathbf{q}^{ij}_0(z)$.

Note that the condition **E5'** is fulfilled, for instance, if $\mathbf{q}_0(z)$ is a covariance matrix of a Gibbs measure ν_0 on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha < -d/2$. Formally the Gibbs measure ν_0 is

$$\nu_0(dv_0, dv_1) = \frac{1}{Z_\beta} e^{-\dfrac{\beta}{2}\displaystyle\sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d}(|v_1(z)|^2 + \mathcal{V}v_0(z)\cdot v_0(z))} \prod_z dv_0(z)dv_1(z),$$

where Z_β is the normalization factor, $\beta = T^{-1}$, $T > 0$ is an absolute temperature. Let us introduce the Gibbs measure ν_0 as the Gaussian measure with the correlation matrices defined by their Fourier transform as

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{00}_0(\theta) = T\hat{V}^{-1}(\theta), \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{11}_0(\theta) = T\,(\delta_{kl})^n_{k,l=1}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{01}_0(\theta) = \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{10}_0(\theta) = 0.$$

Then $p^{ij}_{\sigma\sigma'} = 0$ for $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ and (3.9) holds.

4 Examples

Let us give the examples of the equations (1.1) and measures μ_0 which satisfy all conditions **E1**–**E6** and **S1**–**S4**, respectively.

4.1 Harmonic crystals

The conditions **E1**–**E6** in particular are fulfilled in the case of *the nearest neighbor crystal*, i.e., when the interaction matrix $V(z) = (V_{kl}(z))^n_{k,l=1}$ has a form:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{kl}(z) &= 0 \text{ for } k \neq l, \\ V_{kk}(z) &= \begin{cases} -\gamma_k & \text{for } |z| = 1, \\ 2\gamma_k + m_k^2 & \text{for } z = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } |z| \geq 2, \end{cases} \qquad k = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

with $\gamma_k > 0$, $m_k \geq 0$. Then the equation (1.1) becomes

$$\ddot{u}_k(z,t) = (\gamma_k\Delta_L - m_k^2)u_k(z,t), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here Δ_L stands for the discrete Laplace operator on the lattice $\mathbf{Z}^d$,

$$\Delta_L u(z) := \sum_{e,|e|=1} (u(z+e) - u(z)).$$

Then the eigenvalues of $\hat{V}(\theta)$ are

$$\tilde{\omega}_k(\theta) = \sqrt{2\gamma_1(1-\cos\theta_1) + ... + 2\gamma_d(1-\cos\theta_d) + m_k^2}\,, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.$$

These eigenvalues have to be labelled as follows

$$\tilde{\omega}_1(\theta) \equiv \ldots \equiv \tilde{\omega}_{r_1}(\theta), \;\; \tilde{\omega}_{r_1+1}(\theta) \equiv \ldots \equiv \tilde{\omega}_{r_2}(\theta), \;\; \ldots, \;\; \tilde{\omega}_{r_{s-1}+1}(\theta) \equiv \ldots \equiv \tilde{\omega}_{r_s}(\theta)$$
$$\omega_1(\theta) \equiv \tilde{\omega}_{r_1}(\theta) < \omega_2(\theta) \equiv \tilde{\omega}_{r_2}(\theta) < \ldots < \omega_s(\theta) \equiv \tilde{\omega}_{r_s}(\theta).$$

Clearly conditions **E1**–**E5** hold with $\mathcal{C}_* = \emptyset$. In the case all $m_k > 0$ the set $\mathcal{C}_0$ is empty and condition **E6** holds automatically. Otherwise, if $m_k = 0$ for some k, $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{0\}$. Then **E6** is equivalent to the condition $\omega_\sigma^{-2}(\theta) \in L^1(\mathbf{T}^d)$, which holds if $d \geq 3$. Therefore, the conditions **E1**–**E6** hold provided either (i) $d \geq 3$, or (ii) $d = 1, 2$ and all $m_k > 0$.

In the case (4.1) formulas (3.4) and (3.5) can be rewritten as follows. Denote

$$\chi_{kl}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \;\; k, l \in [r_{\sigma-1}+1, r_\sigma] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \sigma = 1, ..., s,$$

Then

$$\hat{q}^{ij}_{\infty\, kl} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} \chi_{kl}(\sigma) M^{ij}_{kl}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \quad k, l = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where

$$M^{11}_{kl} = \omega_\sigma^2 M^{00}_{kl} = \left[\omega_\sigma^2 \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{00}_0 + \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{11}_0 - i\,\mathrm{sign}(\sin\theta_1)\omega_\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{01}_0 - \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{10}_0)\right]_{kl},$$
$$M^{01}_{kl} = -M^{10}_{kl} = \left[\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{01}_0 - \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{10}_0 + i\,\frac{\mathrm{sign}(\sin\theta_1)}{\omega_\sigma(\theta)}\left(\omega_\sigma^2 \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{00}_0 + \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{11}_0\right)\right]_{kl}.$$

4.2 Gaussian measures

We consider $n = 1$ and construct Gaussian initial measures μ_0 satisfying **S1**–**S4**. Let us define ν_0 in $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ by the correlation functions $\mathbf{q}^{ij}_0(z - z')$ which are zero for $i \neq j$, while for $i = 0, 1$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{ii}_0(\theta) := F_{z\to\theta}[\mathbf{q}^{ii}_0(z)] \in L^1(\mathbf{T}^d), \;\; \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{ii}_0(\theta) \geq 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Then by the Minlos theorem there exists a unique Borel Gaussian measure ν_0 on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha < -d/2$, with the correlation functions $\mathbf{q}^{ij}_0(z - z')$, because

$$\int \|X\|^2_\alpha \nu_0(dX) = \sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d} (1+|z|^2)^\alpha (\mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{q}^{00}_0(0) + \mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{q}^{11}_0(0)) = C(\alpha, d) \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} \mathrm{tr}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{00}_0(\theta) + \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{11}_0(\theta))\, d\theta < \infty.$$

The measure ν_0 satisfies **S1** and **S3**. Let us take a function $\zeta \in C(\mathbf{Z})$ such that

$$\zeta(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for} \;\; s > a, \\ 0, & \text{for} \;\; s \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{with an } \; a > 0.$$

Let us introduce $X(z)$ as a random function in probability space $(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, \nu_0)$. Define a Borel probability measure μ_0 on $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+}$ as a distribution of the random function $Y_0(z) = \zeta(z_1)X(z)$, $z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+$. Then correlation functions of μ_0 are

$$Q_0^{ij}(z, z') = \mathbf{q}_0^{ij}(z - z')\zeta(z_1)\zeta(z'_1), \quad i, j = 0, 1,$$

where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$, $z' = (z'_1, \dots, z'_d) \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+$, and $\mathbf{q}_0^{ij}$ are the correlation functions of the measure ν_0. The measure μ_0 satisfies **S1**–**S3**. Further, let us provide, in addition to (4.2), that

$$\mathbf{q}_0^{ii}(z) = 0, \quad |z| \geq r_0. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the mixing condition **S4** follows with $\varphi(r) = 0$, $r \geq r_0$. For instance, (4.2) and (4.3) hold if we set $\mathbf{q}_0^{ii}(z) = f(z_1)f(z_2)\cdot\ldots\cdot f(z_d)$, where $f(z) = N_0 - |z|$ for $|z| \leq N_0$ and $f(z) = 0$ for $|z| > N_0$ with $N_0 := [r_0/\sqrt{d}]$ (the integer part). Then $\hat{f}(\theta) = (1 - \cos N_0\theta)/(1 - \cos\theta)$, $\theta \in \mathbf{T}^1$, and (4.2) holds.

5 Convergence of correlation functions

5.1 Bounds for initial covariance

Definition 5.1 *By $l^p \equiv l^p(\mathbf{Z}^d) \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$ $(l^p_+ \equiv l^p(\mathbf{Z}^d_+) \otimes \mathbf{R}^n)$, $p \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$, we denote the space of sequences $f(z) = (f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z))$ endowed with norm $\|f\|_{l^p} = \Big(\sum\limits_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d} |f(z)|^p\Big)^{1/p}$ ($\|f\|_{l^p_+} := \Big(\sum\limits_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d_+} |f(z)|^p\Big)^{1/p}$, resp.).*

The next Proposition reflects the mixing property of initial correlation functions.

Proposition 5.2 *(i) Let conditions* **S1**–**S4** *hold. Then for $i, j = 0, 1$, the following bounds hold*

$$\sum_{z'\in\mathbf{Z}^d_+} |Q_0^{ij}(z, z')| \;\leq\; C < \infty \quad \textit{for all } z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d_+} |Q_0^{ij}(z, z')| \;\leq\; C < \infty \quad \textit{for all } z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+. \tag{5.2}$$

Here the constant C does not depend on $z, z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+$.
(ii) $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0^{ij} \in C(\mathbf{T}^d)$, $i, j = 0, 1$.

Proof. (i) By [12, Lemma 17.2.3], conditions **S1**, **S3** and **S4** imply

$$|Q_0^{ij}(z, z')| \leq Ce_0\,\varphi^{1/2}(|z - z'|), \quad z, z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+. \tag{5.3}$$

Hence, condition (2.14) implies (5.1),

$$\sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d_+} |Q_0^{ij}(z, z')| \leq Ce_0 \sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d} \varphi^{1/2}(|z|) < \infty. \tag{5.4}$$

(ii) The bound (5.3) and condition **S2** imply the following bound:

$$|\mathbf{q}_0^{ij}(z)| \le Ce_0\,\varphi^{1/2}(|z|), \quad z \in \mathbf{Z}^d. \tag{5.5}$$

Hence, from (2.14) it follows that $\mathbf{q}_0^{ij}(z) \in l^1$, what implies $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0^{ij} \in C(\mathbf{T}^d)$. ■

Corollary 5.3 *Proposition 5.2 (i) implies, by the Shur lemma, that for any $\Phi, \Psi \in l^2_+$ the following bound holds:*

$$|\langle Q_0(z,z'), \Phi(z) \otimes \Psi(z')\rangle_+| \le C\|\Phi\|_{l^2_+}\|\Psi\|_{l^2_+}.$$

5.2 Proof of the convergence (3.2)

From condition (2.1), formulas (2.8) and (2.9) it follows that $\mathcal{G}_t(z) = \mathcal{G}_t(\tilde{z})$ with $\tilde{z} = (-z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d)$. Then, by the explicit representation (2.10), the covariance $Q_t(z,z')$ can be decomposed into a sum of four terms:

$$Q_t(z,z') = \sum_{y,y' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+} \mathcal{G}_{t,+}(z,y)Q_0(y,y')\mathcal{G}^T_{t,+}(z',y') = R_t(z,z') - R_t(z,\tilde{z}') - R_t(\tilde{z},z') + R_t(\tilde{z},\tilde{z}'),$$

where

$$R_t(z,z') := \sum_{y,y' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+} \mathcal{G}_t(z-y)Q_0(y,y')\mathcal{G}^T_t(z'-y').$$

Therefore, (3.2) follows from the following convergence

$$R_t(z,z') \to q_\infty(z-z') \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \quad z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d. \tag{5.6}$$

To prove (5.6) let us define

$$Q_*(z,z') = \begin{cases} Q_0(z,z') & \text{for } z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

First we split the function $Q_*(z,z')$ into the following three matrices

$$Q^+(z,z') := \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{q}_0(z-z'), \tag{5.7}$$

$$Q^-(z,z') := \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{q}_0(z-z')\,\mathrm{sign}(z'_1), \tag{5.8}$$

$$Q^r(z,z') := Q_*(z,z') - Q^+(z,z') - Q^-(z,z'). \tag{5.9}$$

Next introduce the matrices

$$R^a_t(z,z') = \sum_{y,y' \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \Big(\mathcal{G}_t(z-y)Q^a(y,y')\mathcal{G}^T_t(z'-y')\Big), \quad z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d, \quad t>0, \tag{5.10}$$

for each $a = \{+,-,r\}$, and split $R_t(z,z')$ into three terms: $R_t(z,z') = R^+_t(z,z') + R^-_t(z,z') + R^r_t(z,z')$. Then the convergence (5.6) follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4 *(i)* $\lim_{t\to\infty} R^+_t(z,z') = q^+_\infty(z-z')$, $z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, *with the matrix* q^+_∞ *defined in (3.4),*
(ii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} R^-_t(z,z') = q^-_\infty(z-z')$, $z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d$, *with the matrix* q^-_∞ *defined in (3.5).*
(iii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} R^r_t(z,z') = 0$, $z,z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d$.

This lemma can be proved using the technique of [9, Proposition 7.1]. To justify the main idea of the proof we sketch the proof of Lemma 5.4 (i) in Appendix.

6 Compactness of measures family

Proposition 3.2 follows from the bound (6.1) by the Prokhorov compactness theorem [17, Lemma II.3.1] by a method used in [17, Theorem XII.5.2], since the embedding $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,+} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\beta,+}$ is compact if $\alpha > \beta$.

Lemma 6.1 *Let conditions* **S1**, **S3**, **S4** *hold and* $\alpha < -d/2$. *Then the following bounds hold*

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} E\|U_+(t)Y_0\|^2_{\alpha,+} < \infty. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. Definition 2.1 implies

$$E\|Y(\cdot,t)\|^2_{\alpha,+} = \sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d_+} (1+|z|^2)^\alpha \Big(\operatorname{tr} Q^{00}_t(z,z) + \operatorname{tr} Q^{11}_t(z,z)\Big) < \infty.$$

Since $\alpha < -d/2$, it remains to prove that

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbf{R}} \sup_{z,z'\in\mathbf{Z}^d_+} \|Q_t(z,z')\| \leq C < \infty.$$

The representation (2.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{ij}_t(z,z') &= E\Big(Y^i(z,t)\otimes Y^j(z',t)\Big) = \sum_{y,y'\in\mathbf{Z}^d_+} \sum_{k,l=0,1} \mathcal{G}^{ik}_{t,+}(z,y) Q^{kl}_0(y,y') \mathcal{G}^{jl}_{t,+}(z',y') \\ &= \langle Q_0(y,y'), \Phi^i_z(y,t)\otimes\Phi^j_{z'}(y',t)\rangle_+, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^i_z(y,t) &:= \Big(\mathcal{G}^{i0}_{t,+}(z,y), \mathcal{G}^{i1}_{t,+}(z,y)\Big) \\ &= (\mathcal{G}^{i0}_t(z-y) - \mathcal{G}^{i0}_t(z-\tilde{y}), \mathcal{G}^{i1}_t(z-y) - \mathcal{G}^{i1}_t(z-\tilde{y})), \quad i=0,1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the Parseval identity, formula (8.2) and condition **E6** imply

$$\|\Phi^i_z(\cdot,t)\|^2_{l^2} = (2\pi)^{-d} \int\limits_{\mathbf{T}^d} |\hat{\Phi}^i_z(\theta,t)|^2\, d\theta \leq C \int\limits_{\mathbf{T}^d} \Big(|\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{i0}_t(\theta)|^2 + |\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{i1}_t(\theta)|^2\Big)\, d\theta \leq C_0 < \infty.$$

Then Corollary 5.3 gives

$$|Q^{ij}_t(z,z')| = |\langle Q_0(y,y'), \Phi^i_z(y,t)\otimes\Phi^j_{z'}(y',t)\rangle_+| \leq C\|\Phi^i_z(\cdot,t)\|_{l^2_+}\, \|\Phi^j_{z'}(\cdot,t)\|_{l^2_+} \leq C_1 < \infty,$$

where the constant C_1 does not depend on $z, z' \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+$, $t\in\mathbf{R}$. ■

7 Convergence of characteristic functionals

We derive (3.7) by using the explicit representation (2.10) of the solution $Y(t)$, the Bernstein 'room - corridor' technique and a method of [4]–[9]. The method gives a representation of $\langle Y(t), \Psi\rangle_+$ as a sum of weakly dependent random variables (see formula (7.6) below). Then (3.7) follows from the central limit theorem under a Lindeberg-type condition. The similar technique of the proof is applied in [9, Sections 9, 10]. Then we remark only the main steps of the proof.

7.1 Asymptotics of $U'_+(t)\Psi$

At first, let us evalute of scalar product $\langle Y(t), \Psi\rangle_+$. Let us introduce a function $\Psi_*(z)$ as

$$\Psi_*(z) = \begin{cases} \Psi(z), & \text{if } z_1 > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } z_1 = 0, \\ -\Psi(\tilde{z}), & \text{if } z_1 < 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\langle Y(z,t), \Psi(z)\rangle_+ = \langle Y(z,t), \Psi_*(z)\rangle_+ = \langle Y_0(z'), \Phi(z',t)\rangle_+, \tag{7.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z',t) &:= U'_+(t)\Psi_*(z') = \sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d_+} \mathcal{G}^T_{t,+}(z,z')\Psi_*(z) = \sum_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d} \mathcal{G}^T_t(z-z')\Psi_*(z) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int\limits_{\mathbf{T}^d} e^{-iz'\cdot\theta}\hat{\mathcal{G}}^*_t(\theta)\hat{\Psi}_*(\theta)\, d\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Definition 7.1 *(i) The critical set* $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_* \cup \left(\cup_1^s \mathcal{C}_\sigma\right)$ *(see* **E4***).*
(ii) $\mathcal{S}^0 := \{\Psi \in \mathcal{S} = [S(\mathbf{Z}^d)\otimes\mathbf{R}^n]^2 : \hat{\Psi}(\theta) = 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } \mathcal{C}\}$.

Note that mes $\mathcal{C} = 0$ (see [9, lemma 7.3]) and it suffices to prove (3.7) for $\Psi_* \in \mathcal{S}^0$ only. For the function $\Phi(z,t)$ the following lemma holds.

Lemma 7.2 *(cf Lemma 9.1 from [9]) Let conditions* **E1**–**E4** *and* **E6** *hold. Then for any fixed* $\Psi_* \in \mathcal{S}^0$*, the following bounds hold:*
(i) $\sup_{z\in\mathbf{Z}^d} |\Phi(z,t)| \le C\ t^{-d/2}$.
(ii) For any $p > 0$ *there exist* $C_p, \gamma > 0$ *such that*

$$|\Phi(z,t)| \le C_p(1+|z|+|t|)^{-p}, \quad |z| \ge \gamma t. \tag{7.3}$$

This lemma follows from (7.2), (8.2), Definition 7.1 and the standard stationary phase method.

7.2 Bernstein's argument

Let us introduce a 'room - corridor' partition of the half-ball $\{z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+ :\ |z| \le \gamma t\}$, with γ from (7.3). For $t > 0$ we choose Δ_t and $\rho_t \in \mathbf{N}$. Let us choose $0 < \delta < 1$ and

$$\rho_t \sim t^{1-\delta}, \quad \Delta_t \sim \frac{t}{\log t}, \qquad t \to \infty. \tag{7.4}$$

Let us set $h_t = \Delta_t + \rho_t$ and

$$a^j = jh_t,\ \ b^j = a^j + \Delta_t,\ \ j = 0,1,2,\dots,\ N_t = [(\gamma t)/h_t].$$

We call the slabs $R^j_t = \{z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+ : |z| \le N_t h_t,\ a^j \le z_1 < b^j\}$ the 'rooms', $C^j_t = \{z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+ : |z| \le N_t h_t,\ b^j \le z_1 < a^{j+1}\}$ the 'corridors' and $L_t = \{z \in \mathbf{Z}^d_+ : |z| > N_t h_t\}$ the 'tail'. Here

$z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$, Δ_t is the width of a room, and ρ_t of a corridor. Let us denote by χ_t^j the indicator of the room R_t^j, ξ_t^j that of the corridor C_t^j, and η_t that of the tail L_t. Then

$$\sum\nolimits_t [\chi_t^j(z) + \xi_t^j(z)] + \eta_t(z) = 1, \;\; z \in \mathbf{Z}_+^d,$$

where the sum $\sum_t$ stands for $\sum\limits_{j=0}^{N_t-1}$. Hence, we get the following Bernstein's type representation:

$$\langle Y_0, \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle_+ = \sum\nolimits_t \left[\langle Y_0, \chi_t^j \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle_+ + \langle Y_0, \xi_t^j \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle_+\right] + \langle Y_0, \eta_t \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle_+. \tag{7.5}$$

Let us define the random variables r_t^j, c_t^j, l_t by

$$r_t^j = \langle Y_0, \chi_t^j \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle_+, \;\; c_t^j = \langle Y_0, \xi_t^j \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle_+, \;\; l_t = \langle Y_0, \eta_t \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle_+.$$

Therefore, from (7.1) and (7.5) it follows that

$$\langle Y(t), \Psi\rangle_+ = \langle Y_0, \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle_+ = \sum\nolimits_t (r_t^j + c_t^j) + l_t. \tag{7.6}$$

Lemma 7.3 *Let* **S1**–**S4** *hold and* $\Psi_* \in \mathcal{S}^0$. *The following bounds hold for* $t > 1$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} E|r_t^j|^2 &\le C(\Psi)\ \Delta_t/t, \;\; \forall j, \\ E|c_t^j|^2 &\le C(\Psi)\ \rho_t/t, \;\; \forall j, \\ E|l_t|^2 &\le C_p(\Psi)\ t^{-p}, \;\; \forall p > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is based on Lemma 7.2 and Proposition 5.2 (i) (see [9, Lemma 9.2]).

Further, to prove (3.7) we use a version of the central limit theorem developed by Ibragimov and Linnik. If $\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi) = 0$, the convergence (3.7) follows from (3.2). Thus, we may assume that for a given $\Psi_* \in \mathcal{S}^0$,

$$\mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi) \neq 0. \tag{7.7}$$

At first, we obtain

$$|E\exp\{i\langle Y_0, \Phi(\cdot,t)\rangle_+\} - \hat{\mu}_\infty(\Psi)| = \left|E\exp\left\{i\sum\nolimits_t r_t^j\right\} - \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum\nolimits_t E|r_t^j|^2\right\}\right| + o(1), \;\; t \to \infty.$$

This fact follows from Lemma 7.3, convergence (3.2), condition **S4** and (7.4) (cf [9, p.1073-1075]).

Secondly, by the mixing condition **S4**, we derive that

$$\left|E\exp\left\{i\sum\nolimits_t r_t^j\right\} - \prod_0^{N_t-1} E\exp\left\{ir_t^j\right\}\right| \le C N_t \varphi(\rho_t) \to 0, \quad t \to \infty.$$

Hence, it remains to check that

$$\left|\prod_0^{N_t-1} E\exp\left\{ir_t^j\right\} - \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sum\nolimits_t E|r_t^j|^2\right\}\right| \to 0, \;\; t \to \infty.$$

According to the standard statement of the central limit theorem (see, e.g. [14, Theorem 4.7]), it suffices to verify the Lindeberg condition: $\forall \delta > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_t}\sum\nolimits_t E_{\delta\sqrt{\sigma_t}}|r_t^j|^2 \to 0, \quad t \to \infty.$$

Here $\sigma_t \equiv \sum_t E|r_t^j|^2$, and $E_\varepsilon f \equiv E(X_\varepsilon f)$, where X_ε is the indicator of the event $|f| > \varepsilon^2$. Note that (3.2) and (7.7) imply that $\sigma_t \to \mathcal{Q}_\infty(\Psi,\Psi) \neq 0$, $t \to \infty$. Hence it remains to verify that

$$\sum\nolimits_t E_\varepsilon|r_t^j|^2 \to 0, \quad t \to \infty, \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

This condition is checked using the technique from [9, section 10]. ■

8 Appendix. Outline of the proof of Lemma 5.4 (i)

Obviously, the assertion of Lemma 5.4 (i) is equivalent to the next proposition.

Proposition 8.1 *Let conditions* **E1**–**E6** *and* **S1**–**S4** *hold. Then for any* $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\langle R_t^+(z,z'),\Psi(z)\otimes\Psi(z')\rangle = \langle q_\infty^+(z-z'),\Psi(z)\otimes\Psi(z')\rangle. \tag{8.1}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove (8.1) for $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}^0$ only. It can be proved similarly as in [9, Lemma 7.6].

At first, let us apply the Fourier transform to the matrix $R_t^+(z,z')$ defined by (5.10): $\hat{R}_t^+(\theta,\theta') := \underset{z'\to\theta'}{F_{z\to\theta}} R_t^+(z,z') = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)\hat{Q}^+(\theta,\theta')\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^T(\theta')$, where $\hat{Q}^+(\theta,\theta') := \underset{z'\to\theta'}{F_{z\to\theta}} Q^+(z,z')$. From (5.7) it follows that $\hat{Q}^+(\theta,\theta') = \delta(\theta+\theta')\ (2\pi)^d\ \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)/2$. Hence,

$$\hat{R}_t^+(\theta,\theta') = (2\pi)^d\frac{1}{2}\delta(\theta+\theta')\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^T(-\theta).$$

Secondly, $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)$ has a form

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\Omega t & \sin\Omega t\ \Omega^{-1} \\ -\sin\Omega t\ \Omega & \cos\Omega t \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.2}$$

where $\Omega = \Omega(\theta)$ is the Hermitian matrix defined by (2.4). Let $C(\theta)$ be defined by (3.6) and I be the identity matrix. Then

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta) = \cos\Omega t\, I + \sin\Omega t\, C(\theta). \tag{8.3}$$

Moreover, by condition **E2**, $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^T(-\theta) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^*(\theta) = \cos\Omega t\, I + \sin\Omega t\, C(\theta)^*$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R_t^+(z,z'),\Psi(z)\otimes\Psi(z')\rangle &= (2\pi)^{-2d}\langle\hat{R}_t^+(\theta,\theta'),\hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\hat{\Psi}(\theta')\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^d}\langle\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t^*(\theta),\hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta)\rangle. \end{aligned}\tag{8.4}$$

Further, let us choose certain smooth branches of the functions $\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$ and $\omega_\sigma(\theta)$ to apply the stationary phase arguments which require a smoothness in θ. We choose a finite partition of unity

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} g_m(\theta) = 1, \quad \theta \in \operatorname{supp} \hat{\Psi}, \tag{8.5}$$

where g_m are nonnegative functions from $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{T}^d)$ and vanish in a neighborhood of the set $\mathcal{C}$ defined in Definition 7.1, (i). Further, using (8.5) we rewrite the RHS of (8.4). Applying formula (8.3) for $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_t(\theta)$, one obtains

$$\langle R_t^+(z,z'), \Psi(z)\otimes\Psi(z')\rangle = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^d}\sum_m \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'=1}^{s} \int_{\mathbf{T}^d} g_m(\theta)\Big(\Pi_\sigma(\theta) R_{t,\sigma\sigma'}(\theta)\Pi_{\sigma'}(\theta), \hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta)\Big)\, d\theta,$$

where $R_{t,\sigma\sigma'}(\theta)$ stands for the $2n\times 2n$ matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{t,\sigma\sigma'}(\theta) \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\pm}\Big\{ \cos\left(\omega_\sigma(\theta)\pm\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)\right)t\Big[\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta) \mp C(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)C(\theta)^*\Big] \\ &\quad + \sin\left(\omega_\sigma(\theta)\pm\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)\right)t\ \Big[C(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta) \pm \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)C(\theta)^*\Big]\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

If $\sigma=\sigma'$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{t,\sigma\sigma}(\theta) \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}\Big[\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta) + C(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)C(\theta)^*\Big] + \frac{1}{2}\cos\left(2\omega_\sigma(\theta)t\right)\Big[\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta) - C(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)C(\theta)^*\Big] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sin\left(2\omega_\sigma(\theta)t\right)\Big[C(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta) + \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)C(\theta)^*\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the compactness arguments, we choose the eigenvalues $\omega_\sigma(\theta)$ and the matrix $\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$ as real-analytic functions inside the $\operatorname{supp} g_m$ for every m: we do not mark the functions by the index m to not overburden the notations. Let us analyze the Fourier integrals with g_m.

At first, note that the identities $\omega_\sigma(\theta)+\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)\equiv \mathrm{const}_+$ or $\omega_\sigma(\theta)-\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)\equiv \mathrm{const}_-$ with the $\mathrm{const}_\pm\neq 0$ are impossible by condition **E5**. Furthermore, the oscillatory integrals with $\omega_\sigma(\theta)\pm\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)\not\equiv \mathrm{const}$ vanish as $t\to\infty$. Hence, only the integrals with $\omega_\sigma(\theta)-\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)\equiv 0$ contribute to the limit, since $\omega_\sigma(\theta)+\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)\equiv 0$ would imply $\omega_\sigma(\theta)\equiv\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)\equiv 0$ which is impossible by **E4**. By formulas (8.6) and (8.7), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle R_t^+(z,z'), \Psi(z)\otimes\Psi(z')\rangle \\ =\;& (2\pi)^{-d}\sum_m\sum_{\sigma=1}^{s}\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbf{T}^d} g_m(\theta)\Big(\Pi_\sigma(\theta)\Big[\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)+C(\theta)\hat{\mathbf{q}}_0(\theta)C(\theta)^*\Big]\Pi_\sigma(\theta)+\ldots, \hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta)\Big)\, d\theta \\ =\;& (2\pi)^{-d}\int_{\mathbf{T}^d}\Big(\hat{q}_\infty^+(\theta), \hat{\Psi}(\theta)\otimes\overline{\hat{\Psi}}(\theta)\Big)\, d\theta + \ldots, \end{aligned}$$

where "$\ldots$" stands for the oscillatory integrals which contain $\cos(\omega_\sigma(\theta)\pm\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta))t$ and $\sin(\omega_\sigma(\theta)\pm\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta))t$ with $\omega_\sigma(\theta)\pm\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)\not\equiv\mathrm{const}$. The oscillatory integrals converge to zero by the Lebesgue-Riemann theorem since all the integrands in '$\ldots$' are summable, and $\nabla(\omega_\sigma(\theta)\pm$

$\omega_{\sigma'}(\theta)) = 0$ only on the set of the Lebesgue measure zero. The summability follows from Proposition 5.2 (ii) and **E6** (if $\mathcal{C}_0 \neq \emptyset$) since the matrices $\Pi_\sigma(\theta)$ are bounded. The zero measure follows similarly to Lemma 2.2 (i) since $\omega_\sigma(\theta) \pm \omega_{\sigma'}(\theta) \not\equiv \text{const}$. Lemma 5.4 (i) is proved. ■

Acknowledgment

Author would like to thank Prof. H. Spohn for the helpful discussions.

References

[1] Boldrighini, C., Pellegrinotti, A., and Triolo, L., Convergence to stationary states for infinite harmonic systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **30** (1983), 123-155.

[2] Bulinskii, A.V., *Limit Theorems Under Weak Dependence Conditions* (1989), Moscow University Press, Moscow. [In Russian]

[3] Doukhan, P., *Mixing: Properties and Examples*, Lecture Notes in Statistics **85** (1994), Springer-Verlag, New York.

[4] Dudnikova, T.V., Komech, A.I., Kopylova, E.A., and Suhov, Yu.M., On convergence to equilibrium distribution, I. The Klein-Gordon equation with mixing, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **225** (2002), no.1, 1-32.

[5] Dudnikova, T.V., Komech, A.I., Ratanov, N.E., and Suhov, Yu.M., On convergence to equilibrium distribution, II. The wave equation in odd dimensions, with mixing, *J. Stat. Phys.* **108** (2002), no.4, 1219-1253.

[6] Dudnikova, T.V., Komech, A.I., and Spohn, H., On a two-temperature problem for wave equation, *Markov Processes and Related Fields* **8** (2002), 43-80.

[7] Dudnikova, T., and Komech, A., On a two-temperature problem for the Klein-Gordon equation, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **50** (2005), no. 3, c.1-37.

[8] Dudnikova, T.V., Komech, A.I., and Spohn, H., On the convergence to statistical equilibrium for harmonic crystals, *J. Math. Phys.* **44** (2003), 2596-2620.

[9] Dudnikova, T.V., Komech, A.I., and Mauser, N.J., On two-temperature problem for harmonic crystals, *J. Stat. Phys.* **114** (2004), no.3/4, 1035-1083.

[10] Dudnikova, T.V., and Spohn, H., Local stationarity for lattice dynamics in the harmonic approximation, *Markov Processes and Related Fields* **12** (2006), no.4, 645-578.

[11] Dudnikova, T.V., On ergodic properties for harmonic crystals, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **13** (2006), no.2, 123-131.

[12] Ibragimov, I.A., and Linnik, Yu.V., *Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random Variables* (1971), Ed. by J. F. C. Kingman, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen.

[13] Lanford III, O.E., and Lebowitz, J.L., *Time Evolution and Ergodic Properties of Harmonic Systems*, in: *Dynamical Systems, Theory and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Physics **38** (1975), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[14] Petrov, V.V., *Limit Theorems of Probability Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

[15] Rosenblatt, M.A., A central limit theorem and a strong mixing condition, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **42** (1956), no.1, 43-47.

[16] Spohn, H., and Lebowitz, J.L., Stationary non equilibrium states of infinite harmonic systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **54** (1977), 97-120.

[17] Vishik, M.I., and Fursikov, A.V., *Mathematical Problems of Statistical Hydromechanics* (1988). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.